SP:6(3)-1962

HANDBOOK For Structural Engineers

3. STEEL COLUMNS AND STRUTS

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS' HANDBOOK No. 3

As in the Original Standard, this Page is Intentionally Left Blank

HANDBOOK FOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS

3. STEEL COLUMNS AND STRUTS

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

MANAK BHAVAN, 9 BAHADUR SHAH ZAFAR MARG NEW DELHI 110002

September 1962

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

First Edition : 1962 Eighth Reprint : May 1999

UDC 624.2/.9:624.075.2:669.14

© Copyright 1962

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

This publication is protected under the *Indian Copyright Act* (XIV of 1957) and reproduction in whole or in part by any means except with written permission of the publisher shall be deemed to be an infringement of copyright under the said Act.

Printed in India by Simco Printing Press, Delhi; and Published by the Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (India).

CONTENTS

TODEWODD

.

FOREWORD	•••	• • •	•••	•••	•
SYMBOLS		• • •		• • •	11
ABBREVIATIONS	•••		•••	•••	14
	SECTION	I GENERAL			
1. INTRODUCTION	•••		••••	•••	15
2. COLUMN DESIGN FORMULÆ AND SPECIFICATIONS					17
SECTION II DES	IGN OF CE	NTRALLY LO	ADED COLUM	INS	
3. INTRODUCTION	· 	• • •			20
4. SHORT COLUMNS WI	TH SMALL I	LOADS		• • •	21
5. SHORT COLUMNS WITH LARGE LOADS					25
6. LONG COLUMNS WITH SMALL LOADS				•••	29
7. LONG COLUMNS WIT	'H Intermei	DIATE LOADS	•••	• • •	33
SECTION III C	OLUMNS II	N MULTI-STOP	REY BUILDIN	GS .	
8. INTRODUCTION	• • •		• • •	• • •	38
9. Building Column]	Design for	DEAD PLUS LI	ive Loads	• • •	38
SECTION	V MILL BU CRANE	JILDING COLU E GANTRY	JMN WITH		
10. INTRODUCTION	•••	•••	•••		54
11. STEPPED MILL BUI	lding Colu	mn with Cran	E GANTRY	• • •	54
SECTION V	CONCLUDII COLUM	NG REMARKS IN DESIGN	CONCERNIN	G	
12. Efficiency of Com	APRESSION M	lembers	• • •		67
TABLE I ALLOWABLE AVERAGE STRESSES FOR AXIAL COMPRESSION					69
TABLE II APPROXIMATE RADII OF GYRATION					71
Appendix A Indian St of Steel in Struct	TANDARDS O URES	N PRODUCTION,	DESIGN AND	Use.	72
Appendix B Composit Committee, SMDC	ION OF STR	UCTURAL ENGIN	NEERING SECTI	IONAL	74

As in the Original Standard, this Page is Intentionally Left Blank

This handbook, which has been processed by the Structural Engineering Sectional Committee, SMDC 7, the composition of which is given in Appendix B, has been approved for publication by the Structural and Metals Division Council of ISI.

Steel, which is a very important basic raw material for industrialization, had been receiving considerable attention from the Planning Commission even from the very early stages of the country's First Five Year Plan period. The Planning Commission not only envisaged an increase in production capacity in the country, but also considered the question of even greater importance, namely, the taking of urgent measures for the conservation of available resources. Its expert committees came to the conclusion that a good proportion of the steel consumed by the structural steel industry in India could be saved if higher efficiency procedures were adopted in the production and use of steel. The Planning Commission, therefore, recommended to the Government of India that the Indian Standards Institution should take up a Steel Economy Project and prepare a series of Indian Standard Specifications and Codes of Practice in the field of steel production and utilization.

Over six years of continuous study in India and abroad, and the deliberations at numerous sittings of committees, panels and study groups, have resulted in the formulation of a number of Indian Standards in the field of steel production, design and use, a list of which is included in Appendix A.

The basic Indian Standards on structural steel sections are:

- IS: 808-1957 SPECIFICATION FOR ROLLED STEEL BEAM, CHANNEL AND ANGLE SECTIONS (Since revised and split up into parts)
- IS: 811-1961 SPECIFICATION FOR COLD FORMED LIGHT GAUGE STRUC-TURAL STEEL SECTIONS (Since revised)
- IS: 1161-1958 SPECIFICATION FOR STEEL TUBES FOR STRUCTURAL PURPOSES (Second revision published in 1968)
- IS: 1173-1957 SPECIFICATION FOR ROLLED STEEL SECTIONS, TEE BARS (Since revised)
- IS: 1252-1958 Specification for Rolled Steel Sections, Bulb Angles
- IS: 1730-1961 DIMENSIONS FOR STEEL PLATE, SHEET AND STRIP FOR STRUCTURAL AND GENERAL ENGINEERING PURPOSES (Since revised and split up into parts)
- IS: 1731-1961 DIMENSIONS FOR STEEL FLATS FOR STRUCTURAL AND GENERAL ENGINEERING PURPOSES (Since revised)
- IS: 1732-1961 DIMENSIONS FOR ROUND AND SQUARE STEEL BARS FOR STRUCTURAL AND GENERAL ENGINEERING PURPOSES (Since revised)

The design and fabrication of steel structures is covered by the following basic Indian Standards:

IS: 800-1956 Code of Practice for Use of Structural Steel in General Building Construction (Since revised)

- IS: 801-1958 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR USE OF COLD FORMED LIGHT GAUGE STEEL STRUCTURAL MEMBERS IN GENERAL BUILDING CON-STRUCTION (Since revised)
- IS: 806-1957 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR USE OF STEEL TUBES IN GENERAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION (Since revised)
- IS: 816-1956 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR USE OF METAL ARC WELDING FOR GENERAL CONSTRUCTION IN MILD STEEL (Since revised)
- IS: 819-1957 Code of Practice for Resistance Spot Welding for Light Assemblies in Mild Steel
- IS: 823- CODE OF PROCEDURE FOR METAL ARC WELDING OF MILD STEEL (Under preparation) (Printed in 1964)
- IS: 1024- CODE OF PRACTICE FOR WELDING OF STRUCTURES SUBJECT TO DYNAMIC LOADING (Under preparation) (Printed in 1968)
- IS: 1261-1959 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR SEAM WELDING IN MILD STEEL
- IS: 1323-1959 Code of Practice for Oxy-Acetylene Welding for Structural Work in Mild Steel (Since revised)

ISI undertook the preparation of a number of design handbooks. This handbook, which is the third in the series, relates to steel columns and struts. The first one on structural steel sections was published in March 1959. The second handbook, which deals with steel beams and plate girders, is being simultaneously published along with this handbook. Other handbooks proposed to be published in the series in due course are expected to cover the following subjects:

- 1) Application of plastic theory in design of steel structures
- 2) Designing and detailing welded joints and connections
- 3) Design of rigid frame structures in steel
- 4) Economy of steel through choice of fabrication methods
- 5) Functions of good design in steel economy
- 6) High strength bolting in steel structures
- 7) Large span shed type buildings in steel
- 8) Light-weight open web steel joist construction
- 9) Multi-storey steel framed structures for offices and residences
- 10) Roof trusses in steel
- 11) Single-storey industrial and mill type buildings in steel
- 12) Steel transmission towers
- 13) Steelwork in cranes and hoists
- 14) Structural use of light gauge sections
- 15) Structural use of tubular sections

Metric system has been adopted in India and all quantities, dimensions and design examples have been given in this system.

FOREWORD

This handbook is not intended to replace text books on the subject. With this object in view, theoretical treatment has been kept to the minimum needed. Special effort has been made to introduce only modern and practical methods of analysis and design that will result in economy in utilization of steel.

The information contained in this handbook may be broadly summarized as follows:

- a) Explanation of the secant formula adopted in IS: 800-1956,
- b) Design examples in a format similar to that used in a design office,
- c) Commentary on the design examples, and
- d) Tables of important design data.

In accordance with the main objectives, those types of columns and strut designs that lead to the greatest weight saving in steel have been emphasized, as far as possible.

The calculations shown in the design examples have all been worked out using the ordinary slide rules. The metric sizes of rivets and plates incorporated in the design examples are likely to be the standard metric sizes which would be produced in this country. Indian Standards for these products are under preparation.

This handbook is based on and requires reference to the following publications issued by ISI:

- IS: 226-1958 SPECIFICATION FOR STRUCTURAL STEEL (Second revision) (Fifth revision published in 1975)
- IS: 800-1956 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR USE OF STRUCTUP.AL STEEL IN GENERAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION (Since revised)
- IS: 806-1957 Code of Practice for Use of Steel Tubes in General Building Construction (Since revised)
- IS: 808-1957 Specification for Rolled Steel Beam, Channel and Angle Sections (Since revised and split up into parts)
- IS: 816-1956 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR USE OF METAL ARC WELDING FOR GENERAL CONSTRUCTION IN MILD STEEL (Since revised)
- IS: 875-1957 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL SAFETY OF BUILDINGS: LOADING STANDARDS (Since revised)
- IS: 1161-1958 SPECIFICATION FOR STEEL TUBES FOR STRUCTURAL PUR-POSES (Second revision published in 1968)
- ISI HANDBOOK FOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS : 1. STRUCTURAL STEEL SECTIONS
- ISI HANDBOOK FOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS ON SINGLE-STOREY INDU-STRIAL AND MILL TYPE BUILDINGS IN STEEL (Under preparation)
- ISI HANDBOOK FOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS ON USE OF STEEL TUBES AS STRUCTURAL MATERIAL (Under preparation)
- ISI HANDBOOK FOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS ON MULTI-STOREY STEEL FRAMED STRUCTURES (Under preparation)

IN HANDBOOK FOR STRUCTURAL ENGINEERS : STEEL COLUMNS AND STRUTS

In the preparation of this handbook, the technical committee has derived valuable assistance from Dr Bruce G. Johnston, Professor of Structural Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor. Dr Bruce G. Johnston prepared the preliminary draft of this handbook. This assistance was made available to ISI through Messrs Ramseyer & Miller, Inc, Iron & Steel Industry Consultants, New York, by the Technical Co-operation Mission to India of the Government of USA under their Technical Assistance Programme.

The photographs in this handbook have been provided through the courtesies of American Institute of Steel Construction, New York, and Butler Manufacturing Co, Kansas City, USA.

No handbook of this type can be made complete for all times to come at the very first attempt. As designers and engineers begin to use it, they will be able to suggest modifications and additions for improving its utility. They are requested to send such valuable suggestions to ISI which will be received with appreciation and gratitude.

SYMBOLS

Symbols used in this handbook shall have the meaning assigned to them as indicated below:

- A = Area of section; Greater projection of the base plate beyond the column
- a = Distance between the main components in a laced or battened section or width of rectangular stress block in bearing plate design
- B = Lesser projection of the base plate beyond the column
- b = Flange width
- d = Depth of a section; In rivet groups, the diagonal distance between two rivets; Spacing of battens in a battened section
- d_1 = External diameter of a tube

$$d_2$$
 = Internal diameter of a tube

- E = Young's modulus
- E_t = Tangent modulus
- e = Eccentricity
- $\frac{ec}{r^2}$ = Eccentricity ratio
- F_1 = Longitudinal shear
- F_a = Permissible axial stress
- F_b = Permissible bending stress
- F_e = Permissible stress in direct compression
- f_a = Calculated axial stress
- f_b = Calculated bending stress
- f_n = Stress at proportional limit
- f_{v} = Calculated average shear stress in the section
- I = Moment of inertia
- I_{44} = Moment of inertia about A-A axis
- I_{BB} = Moment of inertia about *B-B* axis
- I_x = Moment of inertia about X-X axis
- I_u = Moment of inertia about Y-Y axis

- Moment of inertia of a column section between mth and nth I___ = floor levels K ___ Coefficient of effective length L Actual length = l = Effective length (=KL)Effective length about X-X axis ι. l., _ Effective length about Y-Y axis Slenderness ratio llr = М = Bending moment *M*_ Total bending moment in the column section at mth floor _ level Distribution of the bending moment at the mth floor level M.... ___ in the column section between mth and nth floor levels Р Axial load ____ Axial load in the column section between mth and nth floor P.,,,, ____ levels = Static moment about the centroidal axis of the portion of 0 cross-sectional area beyond the location at which the stress is being determined Reaction at A R, _ Component of the rivet strength in X-X direction R_ = R. Component of the rivet strength in Y-Y direction = 7 _ Radius of gyration Radius of gyration about B-B axis r ... = Minimum radius of gyration = *r*min Radius of gyration about X-X axis ____ Tr. Radius of gyration about Y-Y axis r_v -S Shear -----Thickness of base plate or splice plate; Flange or web t ____ thickness Flange thickness t, = Web thickness t_w ----
- Total shear resultant on cross-section V _
- Shear force per unit length V. ____
- Pressure or loading on the under-side of the base plate w ===

SYMBOLS

- x = Distance of the rivet from a reference point along X-X axis
- y = Distance of the rivet from a reference point along Y-Y axis
- Z = Section modulus
- Z_x = Section modulus about X-X axis
- $Z_v =$ Section modulus about Y-Y axis
- Z_{mn} = Section modulus of the column section between *m*th and *n*th floor levels
- \triangle = Deflection
- Φ = Centre line
- @ = At
- > = Greater than
- < = Less than
- \Rightarrow = Not greater than
- \star = Not less than
- \simeq = Approximately equal to
- \therefore = Therefore

ABBREVIATIONS

Some important abbreviations used in this handbook are listed below:

Units

cm²
cm
m
mm
kg
kg/m
kg/cm ³
kg/m ²
t
cm∙kg
cm∙t
m∙kg
m∙t
cm4
cm ³
t/cm

Other Abbreviations

ОК
В
c/c
DL
Fl
ISA
ISLB, ISMB, etc
ISLC, ISMC, etc
LL
OD

SECTION I

1. INTRODUCTION*

1.1 A column is a structural member whose primary function is to transmit compressive force between two points in a structure. 'The subject of column strength has retained the interest of mathematicians and engineers alike for more than 200 years since Euler's famous contributions to column theory of 1744 and 1757.

1.2 A column is loaded and performs its useful function in compression, but, when overloaded, beyond its working strength, it does not generally fail by direct compression. Failure may be due to excessive bending or in some cases by bending combined with twisting, depending on the slenderness ratio of the compression member. If a short compression member is subjected to an axial load of sufficient magnitude, it will fail by decreasing in length and bulging, or may fail because of excessive shearing stresses if the material is brittle. If, on the other hand, a long slender strut is subjected to a relatively small axial load, the strut is in stable state and if it is displaced by a small amount due to some disturbing force, the member will straighten itself when that disturbing force is removed. For a certain increased value of the axial force, however, the member is in a state of neutral equilibrium and will remain deflected even after the removal of the disturbing force. This axial load is called the buckling load. The column will behave in the same way if, instead of a disturbing force there is a bent and/or twisted configuration existing in the member. Thus, as the length of the column increases, the cross-sectional area being constant, the load required to produce the various types of failure decreases. Therefore, columns are commonly classified as short and long columns. Even though this division may be arbitrary and there is no absolute way of determining the exact limits for each classification, for convenience of discussion in design examples of columns in this handbook this classification is being adopted.

1.3 The Euler load is the buckling load which will hold a completely elastic column in a bent position. An infinitesimal tendency to change from a straight to a bent or buckled shape will, at the Euler load cause the column so to bend. If we consider the inelastic stress-strain curve of the material, the compressive load capacity without any bending is the tangent-modulus load, Shanley having showed that if any load larger than the tangent-modulus load is applied the column will start to bend.

1.4 Thus, the tangent-modulus load provides a strength criterion for the ideally straight and centrally loaded column. In this connection, a statement published in Bulletin No. 1 of Column Research Council (of USA) may be

^{*}Part of the introduction is abstracted from the talk on 'Basic Column Strength' presented by Dr. Bruce G. Johnston at the Fourth Technical Session of Column Research Council and published in the Proceedings of May, 1944.

quoted :

'It is quite generally accepted that the column strength may be determined with satisfactory accuracy by the use of the tangent-modulus method applied to a compressive stress-strain curve for the material, if the material throughout the cross-section of the column has reasonably uniform properties and the column does not contain appreciable residual stresses. The strength of a column may be expressed by:

where

 $\frac{P}{A}$ = average stress in the column, E_r = tangent modulus (slope of stress-strain curve) at stress \hat{P}/A , and $\frac{KL}{K}$ = equivalent slenderness ratio of the column.'

1.5 In the elastic range, $E_r = E$, and this substitution in equation (1) reduces it to the Euler column formula. Equation (1) may be written:

1.5.1 In equation (2), if $E_r = E$ and $P/A = f_p$ (stress at proportional limit of material), the KL/r so evaluated is the minimum slenderness ratio for which the elastic buckling occurs.

1.6 Since the failure of the column, excluding the possibility of torsion, is a matter of *bending*, one may catalogue the following two general categories of 'effects' that influence bending behaviour in real columns. These result in departure from the ideal column strength estimated by the tangent-modulus theory.

- a) Accidental factors that cause bending in the column to take place below the tangent-modulus load:
 - 1) Lateral loads,
 - 2) End eccentricity, and
 - 3) Column curvature or twist and non-homogeneity of material.
- b) Factors that modify resistance to bending:
 - 1) Residual stress (may increase or decrease strength);
 - 2) Variation in inelastic stress-strain characteristics, either inherent in the material or as a result of prior tensile overstrain in all or various parts of the column.
 - 3) Shear strength;
 - Local buckling;

- 5) Shape of cross-section; and
- 6) Lateral or end restraints (may increase strength).

1.6.1 One item has been left out of the foregoing outline, that is, compressive load, which in itself reduces bending stiffness. When an 'ideal' column buckles at the Euler load it remains perfectly straight up to that load, then, under an infinitesimal increment of load, suddenly buckles with indefinite deflections within the range wherein the assumptions inherent in the Euler derivation are valid. It would appear as if such an 'ideal' column suddenly had lost all of its bending stiffness, since the slightest touch would cause it to take any bent-position desired. This is not the case. Relatively small axial load has little effect on bending stiffness, as measured by EI, but at a gradually increasing rate, the bending stiffness reduces and as the Euler load is approached the rate of loss is quite rapid. The bending stiffness does become zero when the Euler load is reached but the variation is a continuous function of load even though the buckling itself is a discontinuous process.

1.7 If any generalization at all can be made about the list of factors that affect the strength of a column it is obvious that it is impractical to introduce them all in any mathematical way into any one column formula. On the other hand, various investigators and designers in the past have tended to over-emphasize one factor without a good enough look at the others. One is reminded of the old folk tale of the blind men, feeling various parts of an elephant, with each different man coming to a different conclusion as to what an elephant really was. The uncertainty as to what a column really is has been increased by virtue of the fact that even in laboratory tests there are usually several factors affecting column strength as determined by the testing machine. In attempting to explain any single test by a mathematical formula, it is quite possible through over-emphasis of any one factor in any particular trial 'theory', unknowingly or otherwise. to compensate for the effect of other factors that may co-exist in the tests that may be omitted from the particular theory that is on trial. Thus, one may take a given set of test data on concentrically loaded hinge-end columns and show that the test results agree with the secant formula, assuming accidental initial eccentricities of the required amount to make the theory fit the test or, on the other hand, agree with an initial curvature theory by assuming an initial curvature of the required maximum amount. Thus, there may be no proof at all that either eccentricity or curvature was the dominating factor that should have been used in the theory.

2. COLUMN DESIGN FORMULÆ AND SPECIFICATIONS

2.1 As has been stated, the tangent-modulus formula provides the most proper theoretical basis for relating the stress-strain properties of a metal to the ideal column strength of the same metal. However, for design purposes it is quite customary to determine any point on the column strength curve, especially in the case of a structural steel, as that load which will cause initial yielding in an eccentrically loaded column of that particular length. The eccentricity is arbitrarily assumed so as to give agreement between the resulting strength formula and many column tests. This is the basis for the permissible working stresses given in IS : 800-1956. The actual formula (reduced from the column strength curve by a factor of safety of 1.67) is given in Appendix D of IS : 800-1956 and is referred to in Table I of that standard. It is noted that the assumed eccentricity is in dimensionless terms :

$$\frac{cc}{r^2} = 0.15$$

Tables I and XIII of IS: 800-1956 give permissible average stress for various l/r ratios for structural steel and high strength structural steel respectively. As noted in Appendix D of IS: 800-1956, when l/r is greater than 150, the allowable stress given by the secant formula is modified by a reduction factor which, in effect, introduces an increasing factor of safety with l/r as the value of 150 is exceeded.

2.2 To facilitate interpolation, for each integer value of l/r from 1 to 180, Table I (see p. 69) presents permissible stresses in agreement with **9.1.2** and Table I of IS : 800-1956, for structural steel conforming to IS : 226-1958.

2.3 The cross-sectional shape of various columns commonly used in practice is given in Table II (see p. 71). Also shown are approximate values of radii of gyration for these sections. In the case of the rectangular and circular sections. the values indicated are closely approximate to the correct values but for the built up section there may be a considerable fluctuation because of the variation in relative cross-sectional dimensions.

2.4 To minimize steel requirements in column design, one should keep the effective l/r as small as possible so as to use the material at the greatest possible stress. The length is given in the general design drawing and the designer should select the cross-section that will provide the largest possible radius of gyration without providing more area than is needed. Since

 $r = \sqrt{\frac{I}{A}}$, the largest radius of gyration is obtained when the material is

farthest from the centroid. For constant area this means that the material gets thinner and thinner as the column size increases for any particular type of cross-section. This leads ultimately to such thin walls for any given column cross-section that local buckling becomes a problem and it is local buckling that ultimately limits the size to which one may go. In some cases, in order to get the material as far as possible from the neutral axis, especially when only a small load is to be carried and the total area is small, angles or channels are used together with lacing or batten plates to hold them in position as shown in Table II. The lacing bars and batten plates are not load carrying elements. They function primarily to hold the load carrying portions of the column in their relative positions and provide points of intermediate support for each separate part of the built-up column. Thus, for minimum steel requirements, batten plates and lacing bars are economical only if the increase in permissible stress for the load-carrying members permits a greater reduction in weight than is added by lacing or battens.

2.5 A column designed as centrally loaded may be accidentally loaded eccentrically or may start to bend. In such cases, there will be variable bending moments induced because of the eccentricity between the centroidal axis of the column and the resultant line of action of the applied load. As a result of the varying bending moment that is induced there will be related shearing forces in the plane of the cross-section and the lacing, batten plates, or other connecting elements should be designed to be adequate to resist this shearing force. In 21.2 of IS : 800-1956, this is arbitrarily taken as 2.5 percent of the direct load for which the column is designed. In the case of very short columns, the shearing force is induced primarily by the eccentricity of load whereas in long columns, it is primarily induced by bending. Some authorities consider that the connecting parts should be designed for the shear that would be developed when the column has finally buckled at its full load and in buckling has reached the yield point.

2.6 An important determining factor in the design of a column is the 'effective length' as influenced by end restraint conditions. There are two types of restraints, namely, position restraint or restraint against movement perpendicular to the axis of the column and direction restraint or restraint against angular rotation at the end of the column. Each type of restraint may exist about either or both axes and the conditions at the opposite ends of the column may be different. A complexity of possible combinations results but some of the more usual conditions of restraint are pictured in Appendix G (Fig. 1 to 15) of IS : 800-1956. Design examples will illustrate the use of these figures which provide interpretation of 18.1 and Table V of IS : 800-1956.

2.7 Maximum permissible slenderness ratios are given in 18.2 and Table VI of IS: 800-1956 and minimum thickness of local elements is given in terms of ratios of width to thickness in 18.4 and in Tables VI and VII of that standard.

2.8 The design of a column base slab is also covered in this Handbook as provided in 18.8 of IS : 800-1956.

2.9 Additional reductions in permissible stress for single struts or discontinuous struts are provided in **18.9** of IS: 800-1956 with allowable stresses for single angle struts given in Table X of that standard.

2.10 If bending moments are introduced into the column at axial loads below the buckling load, the column is sometimes called a 'column-inbending' and rules for design of such members are given in 9.5 of IS : 800-1956 covering bending and axial stresses. The bending moment in a beam-column may be introduced either by lateral load or by end eccentricity and the assumed allowances for end eccentricity are given in 18.6 and Table IX of IS : 800-1956.

SECTION II

DESIGN OF CENTRALLY LOADED COLUMNS

3. INTRODUCTION

3.1 The cross-sectional shape of a centrally loaded column depends very largely on whether the column is long or short and whether it carries a small or large load. Therefore, design examples will show alternative selections suitable for the following load and length conditions:

- a) Short columns with small loads,
- b) Short columns with large loads,
- c) Long columns with small loads, and
- d) Long columns with intermediate loads.

3.2 The design examples will be discussed under the following headings pertaining to the column type rather than the length and load category:

- a) Circular cross-section,
- b) Single angle,
- c) Double angle,
- d) H-beam with welded cover plates,
- e) Single cell box,
- f) Laced columns, and
- g, Batten plate columns.

3.3 In summary, the design problem of a centrally loaded column includes the following steps:

- a) Make an initial approximation of the average allowable stress F_c ;
- b) Determine the required area to carry the load at the estimated allowable stress $A=P/F_e$;
- c) Select a column section that will provide the estimated required area along with as large as possible a radius of gyration consistent with clearance requirements and minimum thickness limitations;
- d) Calculate the radius of gyration;
- e) Determine the effective slenderness ratio based on the estimated^{*} effective length according to 18.1 of IS : 800-1956;
- f) Determine allowable stress from Table I as based on 9.1.2 of IS: 800-1956; and
- g) Repeat steps (a) to (f), if necessary, with a revised estimate of allowable column stress.

3.4 In making the preliminary estimate of allowable stress, reference may be made to Table I with a rough approximation of the probable l/r. In the case of very short columns, or columns of any reasonable length with very heavy loads, the l/r may always be made reasonably small. In such a case the allowable stress will vary but little and a good estimate may be made at the outset.

4. SHORT COLUMNS WITH SMALL LOADS

4.1 Columns of Circular Cross-Section (see Design Example 1) — The circular cross-section may be either a solid round or a hollow cylindrical tube. Any circular cross-section has the same radius of gyration about every centroidal axis and the thin wall hollow tube provides the most effective possible disposition of material for a circular column that has the same equivalent length with respect to all axes. For a more complete discussion of tubular members, reference should be made to ISI Handbook for Structural Engineers on Use of Steel Tubes as Structural Material (under preparation).

Local buckling will not occur in the walls of a circular tube until very large ratios of radius to thickness are introduced. For practical purposes, allowing for imperfections in manufacture, it is customary to require that the tube radius be no more than about 65 times the wall thickness. Thus, for a tube having minimum permissible wall thickness of 6.3 mm the maximum radius should be about 400 mm. Minimum wall thickness permitted for tubes not exposed to weather is 3.2 mm (see 6.3 of IS : 806-1957).

Circular columns are especially recommended for exposed use in regions of heavy wind. The wind forces on such columns are minimized and are independent of direction.

In the following pages, designs of different types of sections used as short struts are compared for a small axial load. As a first example, tubular section is taken up for illustration. Then the other types follow. It is to be noted that the required area of cross-section for the tube is less than either the single or double angle struts designed.

4.2 Single Angle Struts (see Design Example 2) — The permissible stress in single angle struts connected by a single rivet or bolt is penalized by 18.9.1.1 of IS: 800-1956 because of the eccentricity of connection. But when connected by a weld or by two or more rivets or bolts in line along the angle af each end, the permissible stresses in accordance with Table I of this Handbook or Table I of IS: 800-1956 are applicable without any reduction, because of the end restraint effect that reduces the effect of eccentricity. The effective length l should be taken as equal to the length centre to centre of connections.

4.3 Double Angle Struts (see Design Example 3) — The double angle strut is more effective and efficient than the single angle strut, not only be ause of the greater permitted working stress, but also because the angles do not tend to buckle about either of their individual principal axes in respect of which the radius of gyration is the minimum. All other things being equal, if the long legs are placed back to back, the best balance of radii of gyration about the two axes of the combined section will be obtained. Attention is called to the required use of stitch rivets to ensure integral combined action of the two angles.

(Continued on p. 25)



Design Example 2-Single Angle Strut This example indicates several trial selections leading to an angle that provides a capacity of 12.6 t. It is to be noted that one of the trial designs had to be modified because the outstanding width thickness ratio of the angle leg was excessive. For single angle struts, the maximum permitted width/thickness ratio **Design Example 2** Ē is 14 as compared with 16 for other outstands. This limitation is desirable because the single angle strut of usually comes the nearest to torsional buckling of any Single Angle Strut 2 rolled steel member. (Equal legs for maximum rmin) Assume two rivers at each end. Allowable stresses in accordance with Table I and 18.9.1.1 (b) of IS : 800-1956 $= 120 = \frac{300}{r}; r = 2.5 \text{ cm}$ Try r for Ur Allowable $F_e = 709 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ (see Table I of this Handbook) Area required = $\frac{10\ 000}{709}$ = 14.1 cm² Try ISA 100 100, 8 mm. = 15.39 cm² A = 1.95 cm *i*min $=\frac{300}{1.05}=154$ lir Allowable $F_{\star} = 472 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ Allowable load = $472 \times 15.39 = 7250$ kg-No Good. Trv ISA 130 130, 8 mm. = 20.22 cm² (30X 130X 8mm A $= \frac{2 \cdot 55}{2 \cdot 55} \text{ cm}$ $= \frac{300}{2 \cdot 55} = 118$ 7min lir Allowable $F_e = 726 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ Allowable load = $726 \times 20.22 = 14700$ kg — over design Try ISA 110 110, 8 mm. $= 17.02 \text{ cm}^2$ A = 2.14 cm Fmin $=\frac{300}{2\cdot 14}=140$ llr Allowable F_{σ} , = 559 kg/cm² Allowable load = $559 \times 17.02 = 9500$ kg—No Good. Therefore, ISA 130 130, 8 mm is the most economical section because other sections with required area and r_{min} have greater weight per metre. $\log \left\{\frac{130}{8}\right\} = 16.25 > 14 \text{--No Good (see 18.4.1 of IS: 800-1956)}$ Check outstanding Effective width = $14 \times 8 = 112$ mm Effective area = 17.3 cm^2 (according to 18.4.1.1 of IS : 800-1956) Allowable $F_c = *726 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ Allowable load = $0.726 \times 17.3 = 12.6$ tOK. * On the basis that for computing section properties the full area of the outstanding may be taken as in a similar case for webs (see last sentence of 18.4.2 of IS : 800-1956).



Double angle struts are frequently used in single plane truss construction and it is common practice in the chords to put the short legs of unequal angles, back to back, on opposite sides of gusset plates, so as to provide the overall truss with greatest stiffness against lateral bending out of the plane of the truss.

5. SHORT COLUMNS WITH LARGE LOADS

5.1 H-Beam with Welded Cover Plate (see Design Example 4) — The H-beam by itself is a very commonly used column cross-section and the design of a number of such columns is provided later in Design Example 9 pertaining to a complete building column design. In the Design Example 4 the load is considerably greater than that in the building design example and it is necessary to add cover plates to the H-beam cross-section. This introduces the design of connecting welds as a function of required shear strength.

5.2 Single Cell Box Section (see Design Example 5) — The single cell closed box cross-section provides a very effective column, similar to the hollow tube, in that the material is disposed nearly as far as possible in all directions from the central axis and it is convenient to provide about the same radius of gyration about all axes. Although the built-up box section requires more work of fabrication, because of the longitudinal welds, it is made of plates or channels that cost less than a cylindrical tube. As in the case of the cylindrical tube, a box section is immune from torsional buckling but shall be checked as to width/thickness ratios of plate segments.

Design Example 4—Short Struts for Large Axial Loads—H-Beam with Welded Cover Plates
The load is 500 tor 50 times of that given in Dasign Example 1 but the length remains the
same at three metres. For such a large load it is obvious that ifr will be small and a large allowable
tress is assumed at the start. As soon as the basic
ISHB section is selected it is possible to make a close
approximation of the radiu of gration since the cover
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the
$$r_{c}$$

plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates may be put on sufficiently wide to make the r_{c}
plates the start. As soon as the basic
the r_{c} After a selection of plates that are approximately
wide enough to balance the radii of gration about
beth axes, the outstanding width/thickness ratio beyond the H-bram is checked and should be less
them 16. The rest of the calculations are self explanatory.
 $P = 500.1 \quad l=3$ m
Small l/r —Trial $F_{c} = 1200$ kg/cm⁴
Area required $= \frac{500\ 000}{1200} = 417\ cm^{4}$
Referring to balance r_{c} and r_{c} to about 19
Predicted $l/r = \frac{300}{128} = 16\ Predicted $F_{c} = 1228\ kg/cm^{4}$
Area required $= \frac{500\ 000}{1228} = 407.0\ cm^{4}$
 $\therefore \frac{289.1}{2} = 145\ cm^{2}\ required per cover plate
Referring to Table II:
Approx $r_{c} = 0.21\ b = 19=0.21\ b (0.21\ is low if plates are wide)$
 $\therefore Assume b = \frac{19}{225} = 76\ cm$
 $I_{c} = \frac{24}{12} = 129\ est han 16\ \dots$. OK (see 18.4.1 of IS: 800-1956)
Check radius of gration
 $I_{c} = \frac{\sqrt{132\ 727}}{129} = 18\ cm$
 $r_{c} = \sqrt{\frac{132\ 727}{1209}} = 18\ cm$
 $r_{c} = \sqrt{\frac{132\ 727}$$$





6. LONG COLUMNS WITH SMALL LOADS

6.1 Long Compression Member for Small Load (see Design Example 6) — It is generally efficient to use laced channel sections for long compression members carrying a small load. Therefore, in the design example illustrated also, it is first expected that laced channels would provide a suitable cross-section. However, a closed box section turns out to be the logical development subsequent to the initial trial of a laced channel section.

Design Example 6-Long Compression Member for a Small Load

Since the load is small and the column long, the starting point in this design is the requirement to keep the 1/r ratio below the maximum permissible value of 180. The initial assumed allowable stress is that corresponding to an l/r of 180. On this basis, two ISLC 150, 14.4 kg channels are found to **Design Example 6** L be satisfactory and their capacity is found at once to be greater than required. However, the flanges are tooof **Trial Design with Laced** close together for fabrication to make riveted lacing 3 Channels bars feasible because of the insufficient clearance for backing up the rivets. ISJC 150, 9.9 kg channels are tried and found to be just sufficient. Load P = 10 t; Effective length l = 10 m (No bracing possible) The problem is to obtain maximum r with minimum sectional area. Use 2 channels or 4 angles with battens or lacing bars. **Trial Design** Using Channels Minimum depth of channel for l/r = 180 is determined as follows: $r_x = 0.36 d^* = r_{\min}$ $\frac{1\ 000}{0.36\ d} = 180; d = 15.5 \text{ cm}$ Try ISLC 150, 14-4 kg $A = 2 \times 18.36 \text{ cm}^2 = 36.72 \text{ cm}^2$ $r_x = 6.16 \text{ cm}$ Note — By choosing b, r_y can be made equal to r_x $l/r_x = \frac{1\ 000}{6\cdot 16} = 162$ d=15 cm 15JC 150~ Allowable $F_e = 427 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ (see Table I) Allowable load = $0.427 \times 36.72 = 15.7 \text{ t}$ —over design 3.6mm Try ISJC 150, 9.9 kg $A = 2 \times 12.65 \text{ cm}^2 = 25.3 \text{ cm}^2$ $r_r = 6.16 \text{ cm}$ $l/r_{a} = \frac{1\ 000}{6.16} = 164$ 166cm irm Allowable $F_e = 416 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ Allowable load = $0.416 \times 25.3 = 10.5 t \dots OK$. Approx b to make r_{w} $= r_{\star}$ (see 21.1.1 and 22.1.1 of IS: 800-1956) 0.40 b = 6.16b = 15.4 cm Adopt b = 16 cm Check d/t of web $d/t = \frac{150 - 2 \times 3.6}{3.6} = 38 < 45$ (see 18.4.2 of IS: 800-1956) Check ry $I_* = 2 \times 12.65 (8 - 1.66)^3 + 2 \times 37.9$ $= -1.090 \text{ cm}^4$ $r_{\rm p} = \sqrt{\frac{1\,090}{25\cdot3}} = 6.55 \,\,{\rm cm}\,\dots\,{\rm OK}.$ Battens or lacings are required. (These will not be designed here. Examples of these designs will be given under 7.) * See Table II on page 71.





7. LONG COLUMNS WITH INTERMEDIATE LOADS

7.1 Laced Columns (see Design Example 7) — For either very heavy or very light loads the use of solid box or hollow tube columns seems more economical of steel but for intermediate loads the laced or batten plate column may be selected. The lacing bars or batten plate serve to hold the load carrying portions of the column in position and shall be designed for the shear requirement as previously explained. Lacing bars are more effective than batten plates in resisting shear since they cause the column to act as a truss.

7.2 Batten Plate Columns (see Design Example 8) — It is to be noted that the batten plate column, according to 22.1.2 of IS : 800-1956, shall not be used where the compression members are subjected in the plane of the battens to eccentricity of loading.




Design Example 8-Alternate Design Using Batten Plates to Replace Lacing

The cross-section make up is the same as for the laced column in Design Example 7, hence this need not be repeated. Initially, the code provision is followed and the battens are put in with a maximum

spacing between nearest rivets so as to provide an l/r of 50 maximum or 0.7 times the l/r of the member as a whole. The l/r of 50 would govern and the layout is shown. Four rivets are tried and the rivet group is checked for the moment resulting from the shear of 2.5 percent of the axial load which in this case is 2.5 t. A weight comparison shows that the

Design Example 8 I Design of Batten Spacings 2





SECTION III

COLUMNS IN MULTI-STOREY BUILDINGS

8. INTRODUCTION

8.1 For a general treatment of the design of steel frames for multi-storey buildings, reference should be made to ISI Handbook for Structural Engineers on Multi-Storey Steel Framed Structures (under preparation) wherein the problem of multi-storey building column design will be treated in greater detail with reference to both vertical loads and lateral wind loads.

8.2 In the design example to follow, the details regarding distribution of load to a typical building column for dead plus live load only are given. Special design aspects related to column splices, eccentricity of floor load, and base plate design are included. Several typical building columns are shown clearly at the left side of Fig. 1. The column splices should be noted.

9. BUILDING COLUMN DESIGN FOR DEAD PLUS LIVE LOADS (see Design Example 9)

9.1 The building column in question will be designed for a full six-storey height of a building that includes a set-back. In the top four storeys, the column is at the exterior of the building with corresponding eccentricities of load, and in the first two and basement storeys it becomes an interior column with centric load. The basement column will be designed as a cased column.

9.2 In calculating the loads on multi-storey building columns, reference is made to IS : 875-1957. From Table I of IS : 875-1957 the loading is taken at 500 kg/m² of area and the imposed roof load is taken as 150 kg/m^2 . Reference is also made to the reduction in imposed floor load on columns as given in 5.1 of IS : 875-1957. A uniformly distributed load of 400 kg/m² for weight of floors plus 100 kg/m² for partitions is assumed on all floors. The first floor is designed for a heavier live load of 1 000 kg/m² and a total dead load of 750 kg/m².



FIG. 1 TYPICAL BUILDING COLUMN IN STEEL FRAME

Design Example 9—Building Co The portion of building pertaining	dumn Design for L to the column under o)ead Plus I design is here	Live Loa shown in	ds elevation and bl	an.	and the dead and	1 B	ve loads are c	alculated
separately. Note the separation of l lower half of Sheet 1 are tabulated t 5.1 of IS: 875-1957. In the el third and hfth floor levels.	oad on each side of the he accumulated and the evation, column splic	column at t he reduced lin es are indica	the third f ve loads in sted 0.5 r	loor so as to perion accordance with a above the first	h_{i}	alculation of the Design I	xa	centric moment.	In the
	/>					Details	of	Loads	14
Tabulation of floor Loads Coming on	the Columns	io wind io:	ad is equ	eldered.)	200	a dina dia kaominina dia mandri dia mandri dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia dia di			
	DL= 250 Kg/m ² LL=150 Kg/m ²		ELE VATION		-			LOAD (Kg)	
Ţ	DL = 500 Kg/m ²	ROOF	77-50		0L L:L:	250 x 7+5x6/2 150 x 7+5x6/2	=	5 625 3 375	
 6-5m 	LL = 500 Kg/m ²	61h Fl-	74-00	WALL	DL L	500 x 7•5x6/2 900 x 7•5 500 x 7•5x6/2	, = =	18 000 11 250	
+		Sth Fi	70+50	SAME AS 6th FL	DL Ll		=	18 000 11 250	
7-0 m DL = 250 Ka/m ²	DL = 500 Kg/m ²	4 th FL	67-00	SAME AS 6th FI	DL LL		= =	18 000 11 250	
LL = 150 Kg/m ²	LL=500Kg/m ²	3 rel Fl	63·50	LEFT SIDE RIGHT SIDE WALL	0 L 0 L 0 L	250 x 7·5×6/2 500 x 7·5×6/2 900 x 7·5 150 x 7·5	¥	23 6 2 5	
				RIGHT SIDE	LL DL	500 x 7-5x 6/2	*	14 625 22 500	
8-5m	DL=750 K g/m ²		0000		Ľ	500 x 7-5x 6	Ξ	22 500	
	LL=1000 Kg/m ²	lat FL	55-00		DL	750 x 7-5x6	=	33 [°] 750	
5-5m							-		
		BASEHENT	50-00						



Since the top column runs from elevation 71.0 to 77.5, the design load is estimated at approximately midway between the fifth floor and roof with an approximate allowance of 190 kg/m for the weight of this portion of the column together with encasement. As in the case of a centrally loaded column the starting point

Design Example 9	3
Column Between 5th	of
Floor and Roof	- 14

is a trial average load but this is reduced in rough proportion to the amount of eccentricity that is expected. In the case of building columns, the calculation of eccentricity is based on **18.6** of IS: 800-1956. At the sixth floor and at the roof, one-third of the total load is introduced with an eccentricity. This may be verified by reference to the connection details shown on Sheet 2 where it may be seen that two-thirds of the load above the set-back is introduced centrally to the column web connections and one-third comes in eccentricity through the seat angle connection to the column flange. At the sixth floor level, the eccentric moment is assumed equally divided above and below the sixth floor. It is to be noted that no reduction in live load is made in calculating the local eccentric moment.

The column has been checked in the last sheet at the sixth floor level and there is no need to check it at the roof level since the eccentric column moment there is less than just above or below the sixth floor.

It is to be noted that in calculating the effective length of these columns, the slenderness ratio is taken as 0 67 times the slenderness ratio centre-to-centre of floors. This is in accordance with Fig. 1 of Appendix G of IS : 800-1956. Although only one beam frames into the column flange on one side, there are two beams providing direction fixity in the weak blane of bending.

Assume 3 column splices as shown in the sketch. Also note that the splices are 0.5 m above the nearest floor levels.

Top Column-5th Floor to Roof

 $Try F_{e} = 950 \text{ kg/cm}^2$

Approximate

design load = 38 t (from Sheet 1) Area required = 38 000/950= $40 cm^2$

Try ISHB 150, 34.6 kg

\boldsymbol{A}	-	44 .08	cm²
Z_x	=	218.1	cm ³



Top and seat connection of roof beam to column flange introduces 1/3 roof load with eccentricity as explained in the commentary above.

(See 18.6.1 of IS : 800-1956)

e = 7.5 + 2.0 = 9.5 cm (seat assumed to be unstiffened bracket with t=2 cm)

Moment at roof level $M_R \stackrel{\sim}{=} \frac{\text{Load at roof level}}{3} \times e$ $= \frac{9 \cdot 00}{3} \times 9 \cdot 5 = 28 \cdot 5 \text{ cm} \cdot t$ Moment at 6th floor level $M_6 = \frac{*29 \cdot 25 \times 9 \cdot 5}{3 \times 2 \dagger} = 46 \cdot 3 \text{ cm} \cdot t$ * No reduction in live load in calculating local eccentric moment. Thus 29 \cdot 25 is obtained by adding the values in 2nd and 3rd col of table of loads in Sheet 2. † See 18.6.2 (b) of 15 : 800-1956.

	Design Example 9	4
	Column Between 5th Floor and Roof	of 14
$l/b = \frac{0.67 \times 3.5 \times 100}{20} = 1$	2	
$F_b = 1.575 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ (see 9.2	2.2 of IS: 800-1956)	
$f_b = \frac{46 \cdot 3 \times 1\ 000}{218 \cdot 1} = 212$	kg/cm²	
$r_y = 3.35$ cm, effective		
$l/r_{y} = \frac{0.67 \times 350}{3.35} = 70$		
$F_e = 1 \ 098 \ \text{kg/cm^2}$ (see 9.	1.2 of IS: 800-1956)	
Axial load $P = \frac{*190 \times (3.5 + 1.75)}{1.000}$	=38 ·125 t	-
$f_{\bullet} = \frac{38125}{44\cdot08}$		
$= 865 \text{ kg/cm}^2$		
Therefore, $\frac{865}{1\ 098} + \frac{212}{1\ 575} = 0.922 < 1 \dots OK$		
<i>Try</i> ISHB 150, 30.6 kg		
$A = 38.98 \text{ cm}^3$		
$Z_z = 205.3 \text{ cm}^3$		
$M_{6} = 46.3 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{t}$		
$l/b = \frac{0.67 \times 350}{20} = 12; F_b$	$=1575 \text{ kg/cm}^2$	
$f_{b} = \frac{46 \cdot 3 \times 1\ 000}{205 \cdot 3} = 225$	kg/cm ²	
$r_y = 3.44 \text{ cm}, l/r_y = \frac{0.67 \times 350}{3.44} = 68$		
$F_{\sigma} = 1 \ 106 \ \mathrm{kg/cm^4}$		
$f_{\bullet} = \frac{38\ 125}{38\ 98} = 980\ \text{kg/cm}$	12	
$\frac{f_{\bullet}}{F_{\bullet}} + \frac{f_{\bullet}}{F_{\bullet}} = \frac{980}{1106} + \frac{225}{1575}$		
= 0.884 + 0.143 = 1.02	7>1 not permitted	=
∴ Use ISHB 150, 34.6 kg.		
• Including 190 kg/m is for additional masonry at colum Sheet 3).	n up to mid height of floor (see comme	ntary in





In designing the column between the first and the third floor splices, it is found initially that the first to second floor segment will need cover plates because the required area is greater than the area of section of any Indian Standard rolled section available. This provides an opportunity for greater steel economy and

Design Example 9	7
Column Between	of
Ist and 3rd Floors	14

the rolled section is selected on the basis of the requirements between the second and third floor with the plan to add cover plates between the first and second floors only. The moment due to eccentricity could perhaps be maximum at the first floor level as the live load at first floor is maximum being 1 000 kg/m² and maximum eccentricity is caused when live load on one side of the floor is zero and at the other the full 1 000 kg/m^2 and the ratio of 1/l above and below this floor is again greater than 1.5 so that the moments are proportioned accordingly. This will be checked later while finalizing the section for column 1-2 (see Sheet 10). Having checked in this sheet the second to third floor segment as adequate, the additional area requirement for cover plates in the first and second floor is determined in Sheet 8.

Column-1st to 3rd Floor

Ca

For maximum steel economy: Try selection for 2-3 and add cover plates in 1-2 only.

$$P_{2-3} = 122.4 + \frac{190 \times 7 + 210 \times 7 + 240 (1.75)}{1000} = 125.6 t$$
Assume $F_e = 1\ 100 \text{ kg/cm}^3$

$$A = \frac{125.6 \times 1\ 000}{1\ 100} = 114 \text{ cm}^2$$
Try ISHB 450, 87.2 kg
$$A = 111.14 \text{ cm}^2$$
Calculate moment at 3rd floor level.
Refer Sheet 1.
Load calculation at 3rd floor.
Eccentric load from the left side:
DL 250 $\times 7.5 \times 6/2 \times 1/3 = 1\ 875\ \text{kg}$
LL 150 $\times 7.5 \times 6/2 \times 1/3 = 1\ 125\ \text{kg}}{3\ 000\ \text{kg}}$
From the right side:
DL 500 $\times 7.5 \times 6/2 \times 1/3 = 3\ 750\ \text{kg}}{7\ 500\ \text{kg}}$
Therefore, net load causing eccentric moment:

7.5 - 3.0 = 4.5 t

But the worst case is when the live load is not acting on the left side on the roof. Thus the maximum eccentric moment $M_{s} = 15.625 (22.5+2)$

=138 cm · t

The load causing moment about X-X axis is (as explained before) 1/3 of the total load from each side, † 7-5-1-875-5-625 t.

Calculation of column between first and third floor **Design Example 9** 8 is continued from Sheet 7 and the additional requireof ments for column between first and second floor are Column Between worked out in this sheet. 14 ist to 3rd Floors As the moments of inertia of column section above and below the floor differ by more than 1.5 times the lesser, the moment due to eccentricity will be distributed in the ratio of I. The share of column 3-2 $\frac{I_{32}}{I_{32}+I_{34}} = \frac{39\ 211}{39\ 211+19\ 160} = 0.67$ $M_{32} = 0.67 \times 138 = 92.5 \text{ cm} \cdot \text{t}$ $Z_x = 1.742.7 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ (of ISHB 450, 87.2 kg)}$ $\begin{array}{r} & & r_y = 5.18 \ \mathrm{cm} \\ \mathrm{Effective} \ l/r_y = (350/5\cdot18) \ 0.67 = 45.5 \\ & F_e = 1 \ 184 \ \mathrm{kg/cm^2} \end{array}$ $l/b = \frac{350}{25} = 14$ $F_b = 1.575 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ $f_{\rm c} = \frac{*125.6 \times 1.000}{111.14} = 1.130 \, \rm kg/cm^2$ $f_b = \frac{92.5 \times 1\ 000}{1\ 742.7} = 53\ \text{kg/cm}^2$ Therefore, $\frac{1}{1184} + \frac{53}{1575} = 0.988 < 1 \dots OK.$ Additional requirements between floors 1-2 Column-1st to 3rd floor Select for axial load from 1st to 2nd floor and then check for eccentricity at 3rd floor. Assume $F_a = 1.160 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ $P_{15} = 156 \cdot 15 + \frac{190 \times 7 \cdot 0 + 210 \times 7 \cdot 0 + 240 (3 \cdot 5 + 5/2)}{1000} = 160.4 \text{ t}$ $A = \frac{160.4 \times 1000}{1000} = 138 \text{ cm}^2$ 1 160 Area of ISHB 450, $87.2 \text{ kg} = 111.14 \text{ cm}^2$ Area of plates required = 26.86 cm^2 Try 2 plates 20×0.8 cm: A =32 cm² Calculate r. $I_{\rm s}$ (HB) = 2.985.2 cm⁴ I_{*} plate = 1 067 cm⁴ Total $I_{\rm w} \simeq 4.052 \ {\rm cm}^4$ $A = 32 + 111 \cdot 14 = 143 \cdot 14 \text{ cm}^2, r_y = \sqrt{\frac{4052}{148.14}} = 5.33 \text{ cm}$ $t/r_{\rm F} = \frac{0.67 \times 500}{5.33} = 62.8, \qquad F_{\rm o} = 1.129 \ \rm kg/cm^2$ Capacity = $1 \cdot 129 \times 143 \cdot 14 = 161 \cdot 6 \text{ t} > 160 \cdot 4 \text{ t}$ TentativelyOK. See Sheet 7. e moment due to eccentricity is not considered yet here in the design of section for column 1-2, as this will be done in Sheet 10.



10 of

14

Design Example 9Column Between
Basement and 1st FloorIgnoring the concrete encasementTotal moment at 1st floor $= 7.5 (22.5 + 1.25 + 2) = 193 \text{ cm} \cdot t$ Moment of Inertia of basement column section about X-X axis: $I_{1B} = 39 211 + 87.5 \times (23.1)^2$ $= 88 600 \text{ cm}^4$ $Z_{1B} = 3740 \text{ cm}^3$ Moment of Inertia of column section between the 1st and 2nd floors: $I_{12} = 39 211 + 32 \times (22.9)^2$ $= 56 000 \text{ cm}^4$ $Z_{12} = 2 400 \text{ cm}^2$ Thus moments of inertia are varying by more than 1-1/2 times the lesser.

The share of column between basement and 1st floor $= \frac{I_{1B}}{I_{13} + I_{1B}}$ = 0.61 times the total moment M_1 at 1st floor

Moment at 1st floor distributed to column between 1st and 2nd floor = 193×0.39 = 75.3 cm t

Final check of the column section between 1st and 2nd floor (continued from Sheet 8)

$$l/b = \frac{0.67 \times 500}{25}$$

= 13.4
 $F_b = 1.500 \text{ kg/cm}^2$

Applying the interaction formula:

 $\frac{160\cdot4\times1000}{143\cdot14\times1129} + \frac{75\cdot3\times1000}{2430\times1500} = 1 > 1 \dots \text{OK}.$

Check the section between basement and 1st floor. In the light of 18.10.2.1 of IS: 800-1956, the steel section alone should be considered as carrying the entire load. The stiffening effect of concrete could be recognized to adopt allowable stresses of 1 500 kg/cm² in bending and 1 182 kg/cm² axial compression as determined in Sheet 9.

Moment share of basement column = $193 \times 0.6 = 115.8$ cm·t

Therefore, $\frac{218 \cdot 4 \times 1\ 000}{195 \cdot 16 \times 1\ 182} + \frac{115 \cdot 8 \times 1\ 000}{3\ 660 \times 1\ 500} = 0.97 < 1 \dots OK.$



Moment capacity = $\frac{2 \times 630 \times 2 \cdot 27 \times 24^*}{1\ 000}$ = 68.6 cm · t 190.1-175 = 15.1 cm · t (Sheet 5) OK. * In this expression: 2 = number of rivets; 2.27 = area of 17-mm rivet hole; 24 = distance between rivet lines or lever arm.

At splices, if the change in column depth is small, a single bearing plate may be used to transfer the load. This is being demonstrated on Sheet 14. If there is a large change in depth, it will be more economical of steel to introduce an end detail, such as is shown on Sheet 11. In this detail, a welded WF shape is built

	Desig	n Exami	ole 9	
--	-------	---------	-------	--

Design of Splice at 5th Floor

of 14

12

into the top of the column. This is checked for sufficient strength in shear and bending, as if it were a short beam, to transfer a uniform distribution of stress in the column below the splice. The initial layout as shown was found to be inadequate in shear. Web doubler plates could be added, but it is simpler and more economical in the present case to deepen the beam section so as to introduce more shear capacity. Alternatively, a wedge shaped transition section could be introduced.

Check for axial load.

The axial load may be considered as being transmitted to the column section below by the specified sections acting like a short beam.

The load is assumed to be distributed uniformly at the bearing.

Total axial load at the fifth floor splice to be transmitted as detailed in Sheet 2 is 38 t.

The sections designed are ISHB 150, 34.6 kg above and ISHB 350, 67.4 kg below the splice.

The flange width = 150 mm or 15.0 cm

The depth of web between flanges = $150-2 \times 9 = 132$ mm or 13.2 cm

The total length of distribution = $15+15+13\cdot2=43\cdot2$ cm

Ignoring the difference in thickness between the web and flange of column section it may be assumed that the distribution of load is proportional to length and with this assumption each flange transmits:

$$\frac{38 \times 15}{43 \cdot 2} = 13 \cdot 2 t$$

The load being transmitted through web = $38-2 \times 13 \cdot 2 = 11 \cdot 6$ t

The flange width of the lower



Design Example 9 13 of **Design** of Splice at 5th Floor 14 Therefore, a should be increased suitably to give more shear area. $\frac{16\ 000}{945}$ \times $\frac{1}{0.83}$ = 20.4 cm, say, 22 cm $d/t = \frac{22}{0.83}$ <85 OK (see 20.7.1 of IS : 800-1956) Check f.

Moment at centre =
$$\frac{11 \cdot 5 \times 33 \cdot 8}{2} + \frac{15 \times 33 \cdot 8}{2 \times 4}$$

= $\frac{13 \cdot 2 \times 14 \cdot 1}{2} - \frac{11 \cdot 6 \times 14 \cdot 1}{2 \times 4}$
= 144 \cdot 2 cm \cdot t





SECTION IV

MILL BUILDING COLUMN WITH CRANE GANTRY

10. INTRODUCTION

10.1 The stepped mill building column with crane gantry is an important design problem that combines a variety of important design questions. The column is of non-uniform cross-section, it is a 'beam-column' with both eccentric and lateral loads introduced along its length, and it involves a multiplicity of effective length questions. For the answers to matters of effective length, one is guided by Appendix G of IS : 800-1956. The column to be designed herein will be similar to that shown in Fig. 14 in Appendix G of IS : 800-1956.

10.2 There is a current practice of designing the column directly under the crane girder independently of the column that supports the building. There have been arguments and discussions over this question and it is pointed out that the assumption of separate action requires special provisions to attain it. It is recommended that the entire unit should be designed for integral action. The column section in Design Example 10 is designed with this approach in this Handbook.

11. STEPPED MILL BUILDING COLUMN WITH CRANE GANTRY (see Design Example 10)

11.1 It has to be understood that the example for the crane gantry column has been designed with the assumption that the top of the column is fixed in position but not in direction. Therefore, this method of design illustrated here may be followed only when these conditions are satisfied through suitable and adequate bracings at the level of the top of the column. Other examples of columns where such conditions are not satisfied will be dealt with in ISI Handbook for Structural Engineers on Single-Storey Industrial and Mill Type Buildings in Steel (under preparation). Reference should, therefore, be made to this Handbook for details and fuller discussion of the problem.

11.2 In comparison with a design based on completely separate action of crane and building column components, the consideration of the entire column as a single unit with eccentric and lateral loads will result in heavier design above the crane gantry and possibly somewhat lighter design below. A certain amount of rigidity is desirable in a mill building because of the undesirable sway and vibration that may be induced by the operation of the travelling bridge crane. It is learnt that some mill buildings in use in USA have had to undergo extensive revisions with costly additions of steel because they were too flexible with regard to side sway in the upper column segments above the crane runway girder.



The trial selection is checked for its adequacy. It is found that the selection is slightly under-designed and since the moments are only known to a rough degree of approximation, the trial of the next heavier ISHB is suggested.

Design Example 10	2
2nd Trial Design of	of
Top Segment	12

For determining maximum allowable bending stress for bending of the column about X_1 - X_1 , l_{r1}/b is to be considered as the Beam-Column section is likely to buckle laterally about Y_1 - Y_1 .

$$l/b = \frac{450}{25}$$
$$= 18$$

$$F_b = 1.575 \text{ kg/cm}^2$$

$$\frac{498}{1\ 003} + \frac{1\ 040}{1\ 575} = 0.495 + 0.66$$

$$= 1.155 > 1 - No Good$$

(see 9.5 of 1S : 800-1956)

Moment due to eccentricity has been neglected.

Improve trial section for AB by adding trial eccentric moment.

Try ISHB 350, 72.4 kg.







A satisfactory design having been arrived at on the basis of approximate moments, these moments are now calculated more exactly. The Hardy Cross Method of moment distribution is used. It is desired to determine the bending moments in the column for an arbitrary moment introduced at B; also, for an arbitrary



lateral force introduced at B. By keeping these separate it will be possible to handle combinations of load more readily. In the initial analysis for moment introduced at B, an artificial imaginary restraint is provided to hold B against lateral movement. On the basis of the resulting moments caused by an equal and opposite restraining force and superposing it on the initial solution, the effect of restraint is removed and the desired solution is obtained. The analysis for lateral force at B is started by assuming a displacement at B with no rotation. Rotation is then permitted and after distribution of moments, the force consistent with these moments is determined. Then, by proportion, the moments for unit force at B may be evaluated. Finally, there are summarized the bending moments due to a unit lateral force at B and due to a hundred units of moment at B. Now, referring back to Sheet 4, the actual moments caused by the eccentric moment and lateral force are evaluated and the combined maximum moment is given at the bottom of the next sheet.







Stiffness of
$$AB$$

(one end be-
ing hinged) $= \frac{3}{4} \times \frac{52\ 290}{450} = 87$ For $AB = \frac{87}{87 + 228} = 0.276$
 $BC = \frac{273\ 500}{1\ 200} = 228$ For $BC = 0.724$

Assuming restraint at B, a total applied moment of $-100 \text{ m} \cdot \text{t}$ is distributed as

$$M_{BA} = -27.6 \text{ m} \cdot t$$

$$M_{BC} = -72.4 \text{ m} \cdot t$$
and
$$M_{CB} = -36.2 \text{ m} \cdot t$$
Shear in
$$AB = \frac{27.6}{4.5} = 6.2 \text{ t} \downarrow \uparrow$$
Shear in
$$BC = \frac{72.4 + 36.2}{12} = 9.1 \text{ t} \downarrow \uparrow$$
Applied restraint
$$= 9.1 - 6.2 = 2.9 \text{ t} \downarrow \text{ at } B \qquad \dots \dots (\text{ii})$$

Analysis for displacement with no rotation:

$$M_{BA} = \frac{3 EI \triangle}{l^2_{AB}} = \frac{3 \times 52 \ 290 E \triangle}{450^2} = 0.775 \ E \triangle$$
$$M_{BC} = \frac{6 EI \triangle}{l^3_{BC}} = \frac{6 \times 273 \ 700 E \triangle}{1 \ 200^2} = 1.140 \ E \triangle$$

Based on values at relation (iii) in Su ship between restraining force at B an	heet 6, relation- d the moments	Design	Example 10	7			
in portions BA, BC and CB may be given in this sheet.	worked out as	Analy: and	sis of Forces Moments	01 12			
		_					
		B	0.724	0			
	<i>A</i>	0.278	0.724				
Fixed end moments (FEM) Distributiou Carry over		77-5 10-1	+114 - 26.4	+114 - 13·2			
Final moments		-87.6 🕖	U +87-6	+ 100-8			
	Shear = $\frac{87-6}{4\cdot 5}$ = 19.5 t	↓ †	Shear = $\frac{87.6 + 1}{12}$ = 15.7 t	00-8			
Moment distribution for 1 unit of force at B \uparrow	$\frac{87.6}{19.5 + 15.7} = -2.5$	-2.5	$\frac{+87.6}{19.5+15.7}$ = +2.5	+ 100·8 •5+15·7 = +2·87			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Based on this result, the relationship between the applied moment at B and final distributed moments due to the applied moment without any artificial restraint at B for lateral movement may be worked out.							
		Applied mo	ment -100 m ⁻ t				
	<u>A</u>		B	<u>с</u>			
a) Distribution with restraint at B (see Sheet 6)		+27-6	+72·4	+ 36-2			
b) For releasing the restraint of 2.9 t 1 (see Sheet 6) from the relation (iv)		+ 7-2	- 7·2	-8.3			
Final distribution for 100 units of moment at B		+ 34.8	+ 65-2	+27.9			
			• • •	(v)			
From these results, the final distribution	of moments in the pro	oblem under	design here could be we	orked out.			
Applied loads are: a) lateral load of ± 4 t at B (see Sheet b) moment of ± 4 m·t at B c) moment due to eccentricity = -2	4) 6·4 m·t (see Sheet 4)						
	A		B	c			
For ± 4 t lateral load at B For ± 4 m t at B For -264 m t (due to eccentricity)		∓10 ∓1•4 +9•2	± 10 (from iv) ∓ 2.6 (from v) + 17.2 (from v)	±11-48 7 1-12 4 7-1			
Maximum combined moment		+ 20.6	+24.6	+ 17.5			
			$\uparrow \text{ Shear } = \frac{24.6+}{12}$	17:5			
			= 3·5 r				

The	stress	cond	ition	in	the	upper	segmen	t AB	and
the low	er segi	nent	BC :	is c	hecke	d and	found	to be	just
satisfaci	tory.	-							

The design of the connection to transfer the vertical load from AB to BC and to simultaneously take care of the bending moment at the juncture point is now investi-

Design Example 10	8
Final Design of Column	of 12

gated. As a starting point, the vertical load of 41.5t is transferred without consideration of bending moment with the addition of the ISLB 300, 37.7kg to act as a diaphragm and to provide a reaction to the column section directly under the crane runway girder. Horizontal diaphragms are introduced at positions marked (4) in the figure and the moment capacity of these is checked. Since the diaphragms are more or less flexible in the vertical direction, these rivets are assumed to carry only a horizontal component of load. The moment capacity of these diaphragms is insufficient and additional rivets are added along line B-B to provide extra moment capacity as calculated in Sheet 9. The rivets along plane B-B are assumed to be good for vertical component of stress only. Since the moment arm of the rivets in the horizontal plane and those in the vertical planes are about equal they are assumed to share equally per rivet in the load.

Rechecked Combined Stress

Upper segment AB-ISWB 500, 95-2 kg $f_b = \frac{20.6 \times 10^6}{2.091.6} = 985 \text{ kg/cm}^3$ $\frac{*331}{958} + \frac{985}{1.575} = 0.972 < 1 \dots OK.$ Lower segment BC-2 ISLB 450, 65-3 kg (see Sheet 5) $f_b = \frac{24.6 \times 103 \times 48.93}{273.700} = 453 \text{ kg/cm}^2$ $\frac{1}{1.156} + \frac{453}{1.575} = 0.961 < 1 \dots OK.$

Use 2---- ISLB 450, 65-3 kg.

Compression splice AB to BC—First consider transfer of vertical load only. Load on AB = 40 + 0.96 (wall) + 0.476 (self wt) = 41.5 t (say)

Reaction on the two ISLB 450, 65-3 kg sections (on lines B-B and A-A) would be half the total vertical load if the column AB were symmetrical in plane with respect to the column BC.



Design Example 10	9
Design of Splice	of 12

At A-A and C-C

No. of rivets required $=\frac{13}{3\cdot 55}=3\cdot 67$

Use six 20-mm rivets at A-A and C-C, connecting flange of ISLB 300, 37.7 kg to web of ISLB 450, 65.3 kg and the other flange of ISLB 300, 37.7 kg to the flange of ISWB 500, 95.2 kg respectively.

Transfer of Bending Moment

Although rivets considered in the last sheet at A-A and B-B provide some moment resistance, check moment capacity at diaphragms 4-4 only.





IS: 800-1956 calls for end tie plates on compression members equal in length to the lateral breadth c|c of rivet groups attaching the tie to the main components. The layout shown at the centre of the sheet indicates the minimum length of the tie plates and may be made larger depending on how the lacing

Design Example 10	-11
Design of Tie Plate	of 12

spacing works out in the final details. Four 25-mm diameter anchor bolts are shown and they engage a channel that is riveted to the end tie plates. It is well to have some excess of riveting in a detail of this kind so as to tie the end of the column into a single unit. The tie plate is first checked for its adequacy in transmitting the shear since it functions to take the place of a lacing bar in the end segment. The rivet group is found to be more than adequate. The unchorage bars are assumed to be pretensioned to their full permissible stress of 1 260 kg/cm² which is desirable to ensure adequate rotational rigidity. In order to check the moment capacity, it is assumed that a rectangular stress block is developed similar to what would be expected at ultimate load but here shown at the allowable working bearing pressure on a concrete pier of 55 kg/cm². Taking moments about the centre of the bearing plate, it is found that the moment capacity is more than double the actual applied moment. (It is obvious that the more conventional assumption of triangular block of pressure would also provide satisfactory resistance.) The additional safety with respect to moment is desirable and should provide adequate end fixity in accordance with design assumptions. The details for checking the thickness of bearing plate shown as 3 cm are also given. There is approximately a 10-cm overhang beyond the web of the main wide flange column members and this plate will distribute the load at less than the permissible 1 890 kg/cm² stress for bending in the bearing plate.





SECTION V CONCLUDING REMARKS CONCERNING COLUMN DESIGN

12. EFFICIENCY OF COMPRESSION MEMBERS

12.1 The design examples presented in this chapter have shown that for heavy loads and/or short lengths the centrally loaded column provides an effective stress carrying member. Because of the lesser stress that is permitted, the column is usually not quite as efficient as the tension member, except in cases where large deductions must be made for net section of rivet or bolt holes.

12.2 When small loads are to be carried over long distances, such as is the case in secondary bracing, the column becomes an inefficient member because of the very low stress that is permitted. When the permitted column stress for the minimum practicable l/r falls below 600 kg/cm², it is probable that the use of cross bracing, designed to carry the load in tension only, may be more economical than the use of a single diagonal that shall carry the load either in tension or compression. Thus column action is eliminated. There are many illustrations to be found in actual structures of such use of cross bracing. One such example is shown in Fig. 2 where light cross bracing is used for end wind load and crane braking, both in the plane of the roof and plane of the walls.

Figure 2 also shows crane runway girders carried by welded brackets attached to tapered columns as an alternate to stepped columns used in the previous Design Example 10. The use of such brackets may introduce more of a fatigue problem and will also cause greater eccentric moment than the use of the stepped columns.



FIG. 2 COLUMNS WITH CRANE GANTRY

TABLE I	ALLOWABLE	AVERAGE ST	FRESSES	FOR	AXIAL	COMPRESSIO)N	
		(Clat	use 2.2)					
l r		Fo	1/1	l/r		H.		
(1)	(2)	tons/in. ² (3)	(1)	kg/c	m^2 tons/in.) (3)	2	
1	1 233	7.83	4	15	11	87 7.54		
2	1 233	7.83	4	16	11	84 7·52		
	1 233	7.83	4	18 18	-11	80 7·49		
5	1 232	7.82	4	19	11	78 7.48		
6	1 232	7.82	1	50	11	75 7.46		
7	1 232	7.82		51	11	72 7·44 60 7.49		
G G	1 232	7.92		52	1 1	09 7.42 65 7.40		
10	1 232	7.82		54	11	62 7.38		
11	1 230	7.81		55	î Î	59 7.36		
12	1 230	7.81		56	1.1	56 7.34		
13	1 230	7.81		57	11	53 7.32		
14	1 228	7.80		98 50	11	50 7·30 45 7·97		
16	1 228	7.80	i	50	11	40 7.24		
17	1 227	7.79	(51	11	37 7.22		
18	1 227	7.79	t	52	11	34 7.20		
20	1 225	7·78 7·78		53 54	11	29 /·1/ 94 7·14		
21	1 223	7.77		35	1 1	20 7.11		
22	1 224	7. 77		56	i î	15 7.08		
23	1 222	7.76	1	57	11	10 7.05		
24	1 221	7.75		30 20	1 1	J6 /·U2		
25 26	1 221	7·75 7·74		29 70	10	JI 0.99 96 6.96		
27	1 217	7.73		71	iŏ	90 6·92		
28	1 217	7.73		72	1 0	85 6 ∙ 89		
29	1 216	7.72		73	10	79 6.85		
3U 31	1 214	7.70		/4 75	10	/2 0.81 68 6.78		
32	1 211	7.69		76	îŎ	51 6.74		
33	1 210	7.68		77	1 0	55 6.70		
34	1 208	7.67		78	1 0	50 6·67		
30 36	1 206	7.65		79 30	10	14 0.03 38 6.59		
37	1 203	7.64	8	31	1 0	32 6·55		
38	1 202	7.63	8	32	1 0	25 6.51		
39	1 200	7.62		33 M	10	17 6·46		
40	1 198	7.01		97 95	1.04	ມສ ບ•41 ນາ ⊆າສ		
41 42	1 195	7.59		30 36	99			
43	i 192	7.57	8	17	9	39 6 ·28		
44	1 189	7.55	1 8	8	98	31 6·23		
			1			(Continue	:d)	

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	tons/in.* (3) 3.76 3.72 3.67 3.63 3.59 3.59 3.55 3.51
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(3) 3.76 3.72 3.67 3.63 3.59 3.55 3.55
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.76 3.72 3.67 3.63 3.59 3.55 3.51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.72 3.67 3.63 3.59 3.55 3.51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3·67 3 63 3·59 3·55 3·51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.67 3.63 3.59 3.55 3.51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 63 3·59 3·55 3·51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3·55 3·51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.51
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.47
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.43
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.22
100 004 5.01 146 521 101 974 5.55 147 515 147 515 147 515 147 515 147 515 147 515 51	3.35
101 974 5.55 1 147 515	3-31
104 074 5.55 147 515	3.27
102 865 5.49 148 509	3.23
103 855 5.43 149 504	3.20
104 847 5.38 150 499	3.17
105 838 5·32 151 491	3-12
106 830 5.27 152 48 5	3.08
107 821 5.21 153 479	3.04
108 813 5.16 154 472	3.00
109 803 5.10 155 466	2.96
110 795 5.05 156 461	2.93
111 786 4.99 157 455	9.00
112 776 4.93 157 449	2.09
113 769 4.88 159 444	2.03
114 759 4.82 160 438	2.78
115 751 4.77 161 428	0.75
116 742 4.71 161 433	2.75
117 734 4.66 163 422	2.68
117 726 4.61 164 416	2.64
119 717 4:55	2.01
	2.61
	2.28
121 701 4.40 107 402	2:00
122 093 740 108 397	2.32
123 676 4.29 169 392	2.49
	2.40
	2.42
120 000 419 172 370	2.39
128 644 4.00 173 372	2 36
140 011 103 174 367	2.33
129 636 4.04 175 362	2.30
130 630 4·00 176 357	2-27
151 022 3.95 177 353	2.24
152 014 3.90 178 348	2.21
133 608 3.86 179 345	2.19
134 600 3.81 180 340	2.16

70

TABLE II APPROXIMATE RADII OF GYRATION

(Clauses 2.3 and 2.4)


APPENDIX A

(See Foreword)

INDIAN STANDARDS ON PRODUCTION, DESIGN AND USE OF STEEL IN STRUCTURES

ISI has so far issued the following Indian Standards in the field of production, design and utilization of steel and welding:

- IS: 800-1956 Code of Practice for Use of Structural Steel in General Building Construction
- IS: 801-1958 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR USE OF COLD FORMED LIGHT GAUGE STEEL STRUCTURAL MEMBERS IN GENERAL BUILDING CONS-TRUCTION
- IS: 804-1958 Specification for Rectangular Pressed Steel Tanks
- IS: 806-1957 Code of Practice for Use of Steel Tubes in General. Building Construction
- IS: 808-1957 Specification for Rolled Steel Beam, Channel and Angle Sections
- IS: 812-1957 GLOSSARY OF TERMS RELATING TO WELDING AND CUTTING OF METALS
- IS: 813-1961 SCHEME OF SYMBOLS FOR WELDING (Amended)
- IS: 814-1957 Specification for Covered Electrodes for Metal. Arc. Welding of Mild Steel
- 18: 815-1956 Classification and Coding of Covered Electrodes for Metal Arc Welding of Mild Steel and Low Alloy High-Tensile Steels
- IS: 816-1956 Code of Practice for Use of Metal Arc Welding for General Construction in Mild Steel
- IS: 817-1957 Code of Practice for Training and Testing of Metal Arc Welders
- 15: 818-1957 Code of Practice for Safety and Health Requirements in Electric and Gas Welding and Cutting Operations
- IS: 819-1957 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR RESISTANCE SPOT WELDING FOR LIGHT ASSEMBLIES IN MILD STEEL
- IS: 1173-1957 Specification for Rolled Steel Sections, Tee Bars
- IS: 1179-1957 Specification for Equipment for Eye and Face Protection During Welding

- IS: 818-1968 Code of Practice for Safety and Health Requirements in Electric and Gas Welding and Cutting Operations (*First revision*)
- IS: 819-1957 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR RESISTANCE SPOT WELDING FOR LIGHT ASSEMBLIES IN MILD STEEL
- IS: 1173-1967 SPECIFICATION FOR HOT ROLLED AND SILT STEEL, TEE BARS (First revision)
- IS: 1179-1967 Specification for Equipment for Eye and Face Protection During Welding (*First revision*)
- IS: 1181-1967 QUALIFYING TESTS FOR METAL ARC WELDERS (ENGAGED IN WELDING STRUCTURES OTHER THAN PIPES) (First revision)
- IS: 1182-1967 RECOMMENDED PRACTICE FOR RADIOGRAPHIC EXAMINA-TION OF FUSION WELDED BUTT JOINTS IN STEEL PLATES (First revision)
- IS: 1252-1958 Specification for Rolled Steel Sections, Bulb Angles
- IS: 1261-1959 CODE OF PRACTICE FOR SEAM WELDING IN MILD STEEL
- IS: 1278-1972 Specification for Filler Rods and Wires for Gas Welding (Second revision)
- IS: 1323-1966 Code of Practice for Oxy-Acetylene Welding for Structural Work in Mild Steel (*Revised*)
- IS: 1395-1971 Specification for Molybdenum and Chromium-Molybdenum-Vanadium Low Alloy Steel Electrodes for Metal Arc Welding (Second revision)
- IS: 1442-1964 Specification for Covered Electrodes for the Metal Arc Welding of High Tensile Structural Steel (*Revised*)

APPENDIX B

(See Foreword)

COMPOSITION OF STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING SECTIONAL COMMITTEE, SMDC 7

The ISI Structural Engineering Sectional Committee, SMDC 7, which was responsible for processing this Handbook, consists of the following:

Chairman DIRECTOR, STANDARDS (CIVIL) *Representing* Railway Board (Ministry of Railways)

 Members
 Public Works

 SHRI P. BALAKRISHNAN
 Public Works

 SHRI D. I. PAUL (Alternate)
 SHRI D. I. PAUL (Alternate)

 SHRI B. N. BANNERJEE
 Bridge & Roo

 SHRI RAGHUDAS BAUL
 Public Works

 COL G. BENJAMIN
 Engineer-in-C

 SHRI R. S. MEHANDRU (Alternate)
 SHRI R. S. MEHANDRU (Alternate)

 SHRI J. G. BODHE
 K. R. Irani &

 SHRI J. G. BODHE
 K. R. Irani &

 SHRI D. S. DESAI
 Institution of

 MR. F. J. FONSECA
 Richardson &

 MR. W. FERNANDES (Alternate)
 JOINT DIRECTOR STANDARDS (B & S)

 JOINT DIRECTOR STANDARDS (B & S)
 Railway Boar

 DEPUTY DIRECTOR STANDARDS (B & S) (Alternate)
 SHRI S. C. KAPUR

 Central Public
 National

 BHRI C. P. MALIK
 National

SHRI SHRI KRISHNA (Alternate) Shri L. R. Marwadi Shri P. S. Mehta Shri B. N. Mozumdar

SHRI P. L. DAS (Alternate) SHRI Y. K. MURTHY

SHRI M. P. NAGARSHETH

SHRI C. M. SHAHANI

SHRI SARUP SINGH

SHRI T. S. VEDAGIRI (Alternate) SHRI D. S. THAKUR B SHRI A. R. VAINGANKAR (Alternate) MAJ R. P. E. VAZIFDAR B SHRI V. VENUGOPALAN C

SHRI S. S. MURTHY (Alternate) SHRI B. S. KRISHNAMACHAR, Deputy Director (S & M)

Public Works Department, Madras Bridge & Roof Co. (India) Ltd., Calcutta Public Works Department, Calcutta Engineer-in-Chief's Branch, Army Headquarters K. R. Irani & Co., Bombay Institution of Engineers (India), Calcutta Richardson & Cruddas Ltd., Bombay Railway Board (Ministry of Railways) Central Public Works Department, New Delhi National Buildings Organization (Ministry of Works, Housing & Supply) Hindustan Construction Co. Ltd., Bombay New Standard Engineering Co. Ltd., Bombay Inspection Wing, Directorate General of Supplies & Disposals (Ministry of Works, Housing & Supply) Central Water & Power Commission (Water Wing), New Delhi Ministry of Transport & Communications (Roads Wing Braithwaite, Burn & Jessop Construction Co. Ltd., Calcutta Committee on Plan Project, Planning Commission, New Delhi* Bombay Municipal Corporation, Bombay Bombay Port Trust, Bombay Central Water & Power Commission (Power Wing), New Delhi Director, ISI (Ex-officio Member)

SHRI H. N. KRISHNAMURTHY Assistant Director (S & M), ISI

BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

Headquarters:

Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, NEW DELHI 110002 Telephones: 323 0131, 323 3375, 323 9402 Fax : 91 11 3234062, 91 11 3239399, 91 11 3239382

	Telegrams : Manaksanstha (Common to all Offices)	
Central Laboratory:		Telephone
Plot No. 20/9, Site IV, Sahibabad Industrial Area, Sahibabad 20101	0	8-77 00 32
Regional Offices:		
Central : Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, NEW DELHI	110002	323 76 17
*Eastern : 1/14 CIT Scheme VII M, V.I.P. Road, Maniktola, CALCUTT	A 700054	337 86 62
Northern : SCO 335-336, Sector 34-A, CHANDIGARH 160022		60 38 43
Southern : C.I.T. Campus, IV Cross Road, CHENNAI 600113		235 23 15
†Western : Manakalaya, E9, Behind Marol Telephone Exchange, Ar MUMBAI 400093	ndheri (East),	832 92 95
Branch Offices:		
'Pushpak', Nurmohamed Shaikh Marg, Khanpur, AHMEDABAD 38	0001	550 13 48
Peenya Industrial Area, 1st Stage, Bangalore-Tumkur Road, BANGALORE 560058		839 49 55
Gangotri Complex, 5th Floor, Bhadbhada Road, T.T. Nagar, BHOPA	L 462003	55 40 21
Plot No. 62-63, Unit VI, Ganga Nagar, BHUBANESHWAR 751001		40 36 27
Kalaikathir Buildings, 670 Avinashi Road, COIMBATORE 641037		21 01 41
Plot No. 43, Sector 16 A, Mathura Road, FARIDABAD 121001		8-28 88 01
Savitri Complex, 116 G.T. Road, GHAZIABAD 201001		8-71 19 96
53/5 Ward Nc. 29, R.G. Barua Road, 5th By-lane, GUWAHATI 781	003	54 11 37
5-8-56C, L.N. Gupta Marg, Nampally Station Road, HYDERABAD	500001	20 10 83
E-52, Chitaranjan Marg, C-Scheme, JAIPUR 302001		37 29 25
117/418 B, Sarvodaya Nagar, KANPUR 208005		21 68 76
Seth Bhawan, 2nd Floor, Behind Leela Cinema, Naval Kishore Roa LUCKNOW 226001	ad,	23 89 23
NIT Building, Second Floor, Gokulpat Market, NAGPUR 440010		52 51 71
Patliputra Industrial Estate, PATNA 800013		26 23 05
Institution of Engineers (India) Building 1332 Shivaji Nagar, PUNE	411005	32 36 35
T.C. No. 14/1421, University P.O. Palayam, THIRUVANANTHAPUR	RAM 695034	6 21 17
*Sales Office is at 5 Chowringhee Approach, P.O. Princep Street, CALCUTTA 700072		27 10 85
†Sales Office is at Novelty Chambers, Grant Road, MUMBAI 4000	007	.309 65 28
\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	е,	222 39 71

Printed at Simco Printing Press, Delhi

.